UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO

TAVO SIMMONS,) CASE NO. 4:09 CV 58
Petitioner,) JUDGE JAMES GWIN
v.)
JOSEPH GUNJA,) <u>MEMORANDUM OF OPINION</u>) <u>AND ORDER</u>
Respondent.)

On January 9, 2008, petitioner pro se Tavo Simmons, an inmate at the Northeast Ohio Correctional Center (NEOCC), filed the above-captioned habeas corpus action under 28 U.S.C. § 2241. Petitioner seeks an order reducing his federal sentence by two or three days for each day served at NEOCC, on the ground that "the 'totality of the circumstances and conditions' at the North East Ohio Correctional Center borderline and/or straddle on cruel and unusual punishment, a violation of the Eighth (8) Amendment of the United States Constitution as well as the Fifth (5) and Fourteenth (14) Amendment due process clause, and this has caused him to serve a more onerous period of Federal pre-trial detention than that which was contemplated by the sentencing court." Petitioner cites numerous cases in support of his request. See Petition, pp.4-5.

Case: 4:09-cv-00058-JG Doc #: 4 Filed: 02/27/09 2 of 2. PageID #: 14

As a threshold matter, habeas corpus is not the

appropriate vehicle for challenging the conditions of one's

confinement. Abuhouran v. Morrison, No. 02-3427, 49 Fed.Appx. 349

(6th Cir. Sept. 18, 2002); Okoro v. Scibana, No. 99-1322, 1999 WL

1252871 (6th Cir. Dec. 15, 1999). Further, the primary cases cited

by petitioner in support of his request for sentence modification

concern downward departures made by the trial court at sentencing.

As such, they are wholly inapplicable here.

Accordingly, the petition is denied and this action is

dismissed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2243. The court certifies,

pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3), that an appeal from this

decision could not be taken in good faith.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 27, 2009

James S. Gwin

JAMES S. GWIN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2